



P.O. Box 324, 17360 Yonge Street (at Millard), Suite 200  
Newmarket, ON, L3Y 4X7  
Tel: (905) 895-1007 Fax: (905) 895-4064  
Email: [khill@hhlf.net](mailto:khill@hhlf.net) Website: [www.hillhunterlosell.com](http://www.hillhunterlosell.com)

Gordon H. Hunter, J.D., Kenneth C. Hill, J.D.  
Craig S. Losell, B.A., LL.B., Catherine M. Callaghan, B.A., LL.B.  
George van Hoogenhuize, B.A., LL.B., J. David McLennan, B.A., LL.B.  
Colin A. Brown, B.A., LL.B., M.E.S.

November 25, 2016

*Via Fax 905-953-5140*  
Town of Newmarket  
Planning and Building Services  
395 Mulock Drive  
Newmarket, Ontario  
L3Y 4X7

Dear Sirs:

**Re: 178-194 Main Street – Clocktower Redevelopment Proposal**  
**Your File No. D14 NP 13-19**  
**Our File No. 72272**  
**Our Client – Trinity United Church**

In my letter to you of May 6, 2016 we informed you that we act as solicitors for Trinity United Church in this matter and listed a number of concerns our client has with the proposed development. Our client has read the recent Town of Newmarket Staff Report on the proposal which was prepared for the Committee of the Whole Council meeting scheduled for Nov. 28, 2016. While they appreciate that efforts have been made to address their concerns, as reflected in the Report and the revised application, the Church is far from satisfied that their concerns have been adequately addressed.

In particular, they do not believe that the revisions sufficiently address their concerns about the height and setback of the building from Park Avenue. The Church is concerned about the proposed massing and impacts on the Church and feels that the proposed development should respect the Town's 45 degree angular plane standard, to reduce the impact.

Our client also remains concerned about the parking shortfall and congestion on Park Avenue, both after the project would be complete and even more so during the course of construction, which we understand could take many months.

Because the Church has experienced problems with groundwater affecting its foundations, it remains concerned about the impact of the proposed underground construction on groundwater flows and with the impact of the vibrations of the proposed construction, generally.

They feel that the scale of the proposal is still not compatible with the historical character of Main Street and oppose its approval.

Our client intends to stay involved as this proposal moves further through the Planning Act process, so we look forward to receiving notice of any further steps that may occur.

Yours very truly,

**HILL HUNTER LOSELL LAW FIRM LLP**



Kenneth C. Hill  
KCH:cb