

Good Afternoon Jane, John, Tom & Planning Department of Town of Newmarket.

Please find attached a signed letter (albeit form from another concerned citizen) which STRONGLY represents our (my husband Ward Hawke & myself) opposition of the Proposed Zoning By-Law Amendment.

I would like to be registered for the live meeting on May 31, 2021 at 1:00 PM. I may also wish to give a statement at this time.

With reference to:

#1. Traffic Congestion/Parking/Polution: We have reviewed the proposed apartment building layout/floor plan and it appears to have only one entrance/exit onto Lundy's Lane. As stated this area of Lundy's Lane is already highly congested and every day I drive on it I'm terrified that someone or a car will be hit as visitors/patient drop offs already park even though signs are clearly posted for "no parking" and "no stopping". I'm pretty sure you are all aware that this once quiet street has become congested with traffic, not only from the medical building but by the redesign of Davis which cut off people going East Bound on Davis to make a left (North) onto either of Bolton or Bayview Parkway. This adds more traffic in the evening as well as people head home into Leslie Valley area and/or attend the many sports activities (hopefully soon we'll be back to normal) in the numerous soccer and baseball fields in George Richardson Park.

Adding another 79 units, of which the potential for 158 more cars (approximating that most homes have at least 2 cars nowadays) to be turning Northbound from Davis or Prospect will be horrendous. As it is sometimes I cannot turn left (going east on Davis) during rush hour as the tiny turn lane, that now has an advance turn signal only, is often unattainable due to traffic going East on Davis. Not withstanding the residents where will their visitors park? I'm already finding beer caps, garbage (including dirty diapers) slew in the neighbourhood.

#2. We have spent hundreds of thousands of dollars investing in our property to keep it up and beautify it. I reiterate what the letter states. Why not suggest to the builder that they take this project onto Davis drive which will be much more suited to a project of this size rather than place it in an older, quiet neighbourhood of Newmarket. I was already upset with the community mail boxes (which rightfully so were stopped but not before ours went in.) When our house was purchased we actually paid a premium vs. another part of town because of having home delivery. Now it is beyond a shadow of a doubt to me that this zoning by-law amendment will decrease our property even more.

#3. I thought the reconstruction of Davis Drive was to allow apartment buildings to go along Davis - NOT crashing into the quite neighbourhood we currently enjoy. When will it end? More and more houses turned into doctor's offices? PLUS, I am not prepared for another 4 or so years of dust and dirt and trucks (we already have WB construction trucks going up/down Lundy's Lane even though there is clearly a sign stating they're not allowed!). During the Davis drive construction my husband's tire on his brand new truck was punctured with something from the construction site but the town, then Viva and then York all passed the buck with the end result in it costing us a NEW tire which we know for sure was from there because we hadn't gone anywhere but the store and

back. What damage (dust, dirt, etc.) will we have to endure if this goes through?

PLUS, why are we just hearing about this NOW? The first file on record is back on April 8, 2020 when the survey of the land was presented. I've read through as much as I can on all of the documents on file. Two stand out for sure:

5.1.5 Opportunity for primary location with drop-off or with no vehicle lane crossing -

The subject site fronts Lundy's Lane, Watson Avenue and Bolton Avenue, drop-off can occur along any of these frontages. In addition, there is an access provided off of Bolton Avenue that provides access internal to the site. Drop off could also occur here safely for residents and visitors. This will ONLY add more traffic congestion in our neighbourhood.

In the tree Arborist report: A total of 68 trees were tagged and inventoried. A total of 62 trees are being proposed to be removed due to being in direct conflict with proposed development.

So besides losing a beautiful Century home (which I thought were not allowed to be destroyed) we're also losing the old neighbourhood appeal of beautiful old trees.

Thanks for taking the time to hear me out. In conclusion, both Ward Hawke and myself strongly disagree with the erection of this 4 story apartment building as it will change the entire look and feel of the old neighbourhood we moved into 20 years ago.

Regards,
Patsy Hawke