

Deputation and Further Notice Request Form

Please complete this form to speak at a meeting of Town Council or Committee of the Whole or to receive further notification regarding an item on the agenda. If filling out by hand please print clearly.

Please email to clerks@newmarket.ca, fax to 905-953-5100 or mail or drop off at Legislative Services Department, Town of Newmarket Municipal Offices, 395 Mulock Drive, PO Box 328, STN Main, L3Y 4X7

Name: Lisa Peña-Sabana!	
Organization / Group/ Business represented:	
Address:	Postal Code:
Daytime Phone No:	Home Phone:
Email:	Date of Meeting: 30 May 2016
Is this an item on the Agenda? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Agenda Item No: 11
<input type="checkbox"/> I request future notification of meetings	<input type="checkbox"/> I wish to address Council / Committee
Describe in detail the reason for the deputation and what action you will be asking Council/Committee to take (If applicable):	
I would like to speak about Agenda Item 11 (Bicycle & On-Street Parking on Woodspring Ave)	
Do you wish to provide a written or electronic communication or background information <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Please submit all materials at least 5 days before the meeting. Please attach my email I sent out (May 25 th) regarding the Results / Recommendations. There will be other items to be addressed at the when I attend the meeting. Thank you very much.	

Deputation Guidelines:

- Deputations related to items on the agenda can be accommodated up to and including the meeting day;
- Deputations related to items not on the agenda may be scheduled within sixty (60) days of receipt of this form;
- Deputations will not be heard on a matter decided upon by Council until ninety (90) days have passed from the date of the matter's disposition by Council;
- Deputations are limited to 5 minutes.

Be advised that all Council and Committee of the Whole meetings are audio-video recorded and live streamed online. If you make a presentation to Council or Committee of the Whole, your presentation becomes part of the public record and you will be listed as a presenter in the minutes of the meeting. We post our minutes online, so the listing of your name in connection with the agenda item may be indexed by search engines like Google.

Personal information on this form will be used for the purposes of sending correspondence relating to matters before Council. Your name, address, comments, and any other personal information, is collected and maintained for the purpose of creating a record that is available to the general public in a hard copy format and on the internet in an electronic format pursuant to Section 27 of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M.56, as amended. Questions about this collection should be directed to the Director of Legislative Services/Town Clerk, Town of Newmarket, 395 Mulock Drive, P.O. Box 328, STN Main, Newmarket, ON L3Y 4X7; Telephone 905 895-5193 Ext. 2211 Fax 905-953-5100

From: Lisa Marie Pena-Sabanal
Sent: May-25-16 8:32 PM
To: Kryzanowski, Mark; Bisanz, Christina; Engineering
Cc: Moor, Linda
Subject: Bicycle Lanes & On-Street Parking on Woodspring Ave

Hello Mark, Christina, Engineering & Linda,

I just rec'd Report # 2 in the mail & in all honesty, I am completely disappointed in the response offered by the Town of Newmarket.

As an Academic Professional, I pride myself in ensuring that integrity & authenticity is consistently adhered to at all times, particularly when dealing with areas of research. Therefore, I am surprised by the decision of this survey as being declared compromised & rendered void.

I will definitely be moving forward & requesting a deputation through Linda Moor (as I have cc'd her in this email thread as well) so I can be placed on the list to speak to this issue. However I do plan on moving forward to door knock for a 3rd time to ensure that the residents who want some parking reinstated will have the opportunity to authenticate & validate their approval yet again even if they are not able to attend. Therefore, I will re-print the initial survey that was emailed to me by Christina & take a picture of the resident signing the initial survey, in front of their home. My hope is that this will be evidence of authenticity & validity. I know that the majority of the residents do want some parking back on Woodspring & it is a shame that something like this can't even happen for us & so I will make it my goal to ensure our voices are no longer oppressed & unheard of as a result of what has been identified as invalid.

When I speak to this issue, I want to ensure that I have the evidence of resident responses & it would seem to make sense that a picture of the residence signing & agreeing to this would be sufficient. I am certain that I will get less responses as a result of the picture-taking, but continue to be confident that I can get at least 18 residents to comply with this approach. As a researcher, I am well aware of the fact (as I'm sure you all are as well) that survey results must be accurate. But I am also a true believer that there must also be other ways to access such responses in a much more EQUITABLE manner particularly:

- a) for those residents who indicated that they had not seen the survey in the first place
- b) for those residents who may lack the literacy skills to be able to understand what is being asked of them in the survey
- c) for some residents where English is not a first language & therefore will be unable to understand the contents of the survey
- d) for some residents who simply ignored the survey due to its impersonal nature
- e) etc.

If truth be told, I am perplexed by the following:

1. If there were duplicate responses rec'd then those could have been remained, assuming the results were consistent.
2. Those not previously rec'd via the proper, prescribed fashion, could have been re-issued a letter or perhaps even a representative door knock to verify confirmation of their responses.

3. The one that had been rec'd previously was changed & contained a different response could have been eliminated entirely from the results.

But for staff to identify that the integrity of the responses rec'd by the survey could not be verified & the fact that they could not clearly determine what the majority truly wanted is disheartening. In fact, some of the residents I've spoken to about this matter felt that the Town of Newmarket would likely find a way to avoid dealing with the reinstatement of parking despite the survey that was initially sent out. I had faith & responded by saying that the Town of Newmarket would be responsive because we have a right to be heard & what we were requesting was not unrealistic.

For what its worth, I do understand the reservations of the 2 residents that were included in Report # 2. Their points are quite valid but if this is truly a major issue, then would street parking as a whole not be entirely eliminated everywhere? I mean we really are just asking for limited parking on the street & a street that is considerably wider than what exists in many areas in Newmarket. Other zones along Woodspring do have access to parking in local parks & schools however this particular polling area does not.

Personally, all the drivers in my home do what they can to ensure the safety of everyone occupying the street / sidewalk. For example, we never forward park into our driveway. We make it a point to reverse onto the driveway to eliminate any potential lack of visibility, etc. It takes time to do this safely however it solves any problems we could have with regards to viewing obstructions.

I hope to hear from someone this week as I will be door knocking the same Residents who I emailed. Should you have an alternative option that you would like me to explore feel free to let me know.

--

Lisa Peña-Sabanal
C.Y.W., B.A. (CYC), B.Ed., M.A. (ECS)
School of Child & Youth Care
Faculty of Community Services
Ryerson University