

I'm kind of concerned that if a 40 acre property is 50% wetlands and floodplains that Newmarket is considering letting it be built on. Those sports fields and excess land could maybe be wetland too, if Newmarket worked with the Ministry of Natural Resources on restoring it. Wetlands are good for water quality, reduce damage from flooding, and provide important habitat for our local wildlife.

Can we try and choose what's good for the environment we live in over more crowded and unoriginal suburbs that make Newmarket a less unique and original place to be?

Thank you,

Kendra Pape-Green