

Hello. I live on Osler Court, backing onto Jim Bond Park. After reading the Engagement Summary Report – Phase 1B, I would like to make the following comments concerning the integration of Jim Bond Park and parking.

1. At most, I would only want to see a pathway linking the 2 recreational areas. I have spoken to many of my neighbours, and we are in agreement that we wish to keep Jim Bond Park as the quiet oasis that it currently is. For those of us backing onto the park, it is like an extension of our backyards. Increased use of the park will detract from the quiet enjoyment of our personal recreational spaces. Of note, during the current pandemic, we have seen a substantial increase in the use of Jim Bond Park by individuals and families which is wonderful. However, I would not want to see larger groups of people as this would likely create noise.

2. We also have **major concerns** with regard to the provision of parking. Adequate parking must be provided onsite. If not, Osler Court, Jordanray Blvd and Menczel Crescent will be the default. I cannot imagine that local businesses at the intersection of Yonge and Mulock will want their parking lots used either, unless it happens when shops are closed. If the Mulock House is to be used as a venue, adjacent parking must be available.

The majority of my neighbours are not aware of what is being planned for the Mulock Property. They do not access the Town website or read notices in the local paper. I appreciate the effort that has been made to reach out for feedback, but I feel not enough is being done to reach the local residents adjacent to the property. The community announcement regarding today's Council meeting was only delivered to my home yesterday afternoon. That's not enough time to ensure that the announcement was read or adequate time given for reaction and comment.

Thank you.

Karen Adamson